

6/29/22 EBCC meeting

RECEIVED AGENCY
22 JUL 10 PM 3:03

Attending: JWD consultant, CY, SM, CW, EJ, PG, SS Agent AW out sick.

6:30

RCOC 63 Stonegate (x2) M/S/V CW/EJ/U(nanimous)

RCOC 27 Cherry Blossom path from 1992 CW/PG/U

6:40 CEI – no applicant – 2 abutters

PH notice read by JWD. Presented by Nick from CEI. Applicant is DPW in conjunction with DER. 3 sided box culvert, and they do not exactly conform to regulations but are close. Nick read off all the disturbances. MESA concerned with a protected mussel.

CW-will there be a construction dam in the pond? CEI – yes

CW-concerned that the low flow dates for the proposed work will affect the mussel. CEI- MESA will hopefully answer that.

CW-concerned that the eels and herring have different times that low flow dates will affect. CEI-there will hopefully be time of year constrictions set by MESA.

PG-will Pond Street be shut down? CEI-detours are planned for the roadway

CY-how long will the project take? – CEI-6 months most likely starting- next year.

EJ-Will there be a coffer dam on the pond side? CEI-Yes

SM-Asked if the bank was delineated because he did not see flags. CEI-Yes

SM-How was the MAHW determined? CEI-from FEMA mapping (This concerned SM,CW,EJ and JWD)

JWD commented that the MAHW contour is very likely above the FEMA mapping and asked if this would affect the calculations on the impacts CEI listed in the presentation. CEI-admitted it could.

JWD asked if there could be permanent erosion control on the pond side southeasterly of the culvert replacement. Currently vehicles are tearing up the bank when they place recreational items in the pond. It was designed for hand carry only. CEI-they will propose larger stones or a hard bank to prevent the erosion of the bank.

Abutters (2): Will the project replace the bridge and the current water line that is above ground? CEI – yes

Motion to continue the hearing to August 22, 2022 to (1) have the bank delineated (2) have the MAHW delineated (3) revise plans as needed from the discussions and possible additional impacts (4) receive all agency reports such as MESA, Army Corp, 401 Water quality. SS/CW/U

798 North Bedford Street continued PH started at 7:01 Greg Driscoll presented, no applicant or abutters

GD presented changes requiring the AOOC and an extension. It was noted by CY that the extension was already granted. The outdoor artificial turf was too expensive for the tenant that wanted it. Went back to a basin. Roof drains were added to catch the back of the building due to a roof line change. They reduced the size of the chamber system due to the cost and asked to waive the 150% storage.

CW-wondered what the waiver was down to because it was not indicated on the plans or documents. GD-calculated that while the Commission waited and said it was now 47% and the applicant felt the chamber system as required to meet the waiver to 100% was too expensive. CW commented that if the previous plans could meet the 100% why should the Commission waive it again to less than half to save the applicant money? SM commented that he didn't feel the goal of stormwater management was for the cost to save the applicant money. It was suggested that the engineer talk to the applicant and to get back to at least the original waiver to 100% from 150%

Motion to continue the PH to July 11th PG/EJ/U

318 & 358 West Union Street asked for a continuance (no date stated) EJ/SS/U

Meeting adjourned 7:34 SS/EJ/U